

Addiction Treatment Centers et al, Plaintiffs, v. Shadow Mountain et al, Defendants.	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SHORT FORM DISCOVERY MOTION Case No. 2:16-cv-00339-JNP-CMR District Judge Jill N. Parrish Magistrate Judge Cecilia M. Romero
--	---

Plaintiffs' motion fails to comply with the certification requirements for short form discovery motions. As noted in Local Rule 37-1:

See DUCivR 37-1(a)(4). “At a minimum, those efforts include a prompt written communication sent to the opposing party: (A) identifying the discovery disclosure/request(s) at issue, the response(s) thereto, and specifying why those responses/objections are inadequate, and; (B)

requesting to meet and confer, either in person or by telephone, with alternative dates and times to do so.” *See* DUCivR 37-1(a)(1).

In the motion Plaintiffs claim to have “conferred with Defense counsel several times about Defendants’ deficiencies.” ([ECF 60 at 2](#)). While Plaintiffs provide the dates that they spoke with and emailed defense counsel, Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate that reasonable efforts were made to reach an agreement on specific discovery requests and responses. The emails to defense counsel neither identify the discovery requests and responses at issue nor specify why such responses were inadequate. This is insufficient to meet the certification requirement.

In addition, Plaintiffs fail to specifically identify which of the discovery responses are inadequate in the motion. Instead, Plaintiffs make the broad assertion that “Defendants have not responded to the vast majority of Plaintiffs’ document requests.” ([ECF 60 at 4](#)). Although Plaintiffs identify three discovery requests as examples, they do not explain why Defendants’ responses to these requests were deficient. (*Id.*) Plaintiffs bring the motion under the Short Form Procedure, however, even with a 500 word limit there must be more than general assertions of noncompliance with various vague discovery requests.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ motion is DENIED.

DATED this 29 July 2019.



Magistrate Judge Cecilia M. Romero
United States District Court for the District of Utah